THE LEGAL AID SOCIETY CRIMINAL DEFENSE

199 Water Street, 6th Floor New York, NY 10038 (212) 577-3300 www.legal-aid.org

Janet E. Sabel Attorney-in-Chief Chief Executive Officer

Justine M. Luongo Attorney-in-Charge Criminal Practice

Corey Stoughton Attorney-in-Charge Law Reform & Special Litigation

December 16, 2022

VIA EMAIL

Honorable Barbara Moses United States Magistrate Judge United States District Court Southern District of New York Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl St., Room 20A New York, NY 10007

Re: Belle, et al., v. City of New York, et al., 19 CV 2673 (VEC) (BM)

Dear Judge Moses,

The Parties respectfully submit this joint letter to update the Court that the Parties have reached a settlement agreement which will be filed by Defendants later today. *See* Stipulation of Settlement, Ex. A. We thank Your Honor for the Court's assistance with achieving this outcome after holding a settlement conference and encouraging the Parties' continued progress towards resolution of this case. Based on this development, we request that this letter satisfy the Court's order for an update by email no later than today, and that the call with the Court scheduled for December 19, 2022 be canceled as it is no longer necessary to resolve this matter. *See* ECF 169.

Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

CYRUS JOUBIN 43 West 43rd Street, Suite 119 New York, NY 10036

GEORGE FARAH MATTHEW HANDLEY REBECCA P. CHANG HANDLEY FARAH & ANDERSON PLCC 777 6th Street NW, 11th Fl. Washington, DC 20001 Hon. Sylvia O. Hinds-Radix Corporation Counsel of the City of New York 100 Church Street New York, NY 10007

Attorney for City Defendants

By: <u>/s/ Mark Zuckerman</u> Mark Zuckerman

Justice in Every Borough.

Case 1:19-cv-02673-VEC Document 170 Filed 12/16/22 Page 2 of 2

Page 2

DAVID J. KAHNE MICHAEL MALLON STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP 180 Maiden Lane New York, NY 10038

COREY STOUGHTON STEVE WASSERMAN MOLLY GRIFFARD THE LEGAL AID SOCIETY 199 Water Street, 6th Floor New York, NY 10038

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: <u>/s/ Molly Griffard</u> Molly Griffard

Justice in Every Borough.

Exhibit A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

TERRON BELLE, WILLIAM RIOS, RICHMOND APPIAH, EDISON QUITO, LUIS RIOS,

Plaintiffs,

STIPULATION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL

-against-

19 Civ. 2673 (VEC)

CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY POLICE OFFICERS BRIAN DESTEFANO, DANIEL MARDJONOVIC, STEVEN BARRY, and "JOHN DOE" 1-50,

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREAS, the parties have reached a settlement agreement and now desire to

resolve the remaining issues raised in this litigation, without further proceedings and without

admitting any fault or liability;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and

between the undersigned, that

1. The above-referenced action is hereby dismissed with prejudice; and

Case 1:19-cv-02673-VEC Document 170-1 Filed 12/16/22 Page 3 of 25

2. Notwithstanding the dismissal of this action, the District Court shall continue to retain jurisdiction over this action for the purpose of enforcing the terms of the settlement agreement reached between the parties and set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement executed by the parties in this matter.

Dated: New York, New York _____, 2022

CYRUS JOUBIN 43 West 43rd Street, Suite 119 New York, NY 10036

By:

Cyrus Joubin

HANDLEY FARAH & ANDERSON PLLC Attorneys for Plaintiffs 777 6th Street NW, 11th Fl. Washington, DC 20001 HON. SYLVIA O. HINDS-RADIX Corporation Counsel of the City of New York Attorney for Defendants City of New York, Brian Destefano, Daniel Mardjonovic and Steven Barry 100 Church Street, 4th Floor New York, New York 10007

By:___

Mark Zuckerman Senior Counsel

By:

George Farah Matthew Handley Rebecca P. Chang

THE LEGAL AID SOCIETY Attorneys for Plaintiffs 199 Water Street New York, NY 10038

By:

Corey Stoughton Steven Wasserman Molly Griffard Case 1:19-cv-02673-VEC Document 170-1 Filed 12/16/22 Page 4 of 25

STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP *Attorneys for Plaintiffs* 180 Maiden Lane New York, NY 10038

By:

David J. Kahne Michael Mallon

SO ORDERED:

HON. VALERIE E. CAPRONI UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: _____, 2022

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

TERRON BELLE, WILLIAM RIOS, RICHMOND APPIAH, EDISON QUITO, LUIS RIOS,

Plaintiffs,

STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT

-against-

19 Civ. 2673 (VEC)

CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK CITY POLICE OFFICERS BRIAN DESTEFANO, DANIEL MARDJONOVIC, STEVEN BARRY, and "JOHN DOE" 1-50,

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREAS, plaintiffs commenced this action by filing a complaint on or about March 25, 2019, an amended complaint on or about January 3, 2020, and a second amended complaint on or about November 6, 2020, alleging, in part, that pursuant to a pattern and practice, defendants City of New York ("the City") and New York City Police Department ("NYPD") violated plaintiffs' constitutional rights by illegally detaining them for the purpose of conducting searches of NYPD databases ("NYPD record search") for records including warrants and investigation cards ("I-cards") without individualized reasonable suspicion; and

WHEREAS, all defendants deny any and all liability arising out of plaintiffs' allegations in the above-captioned matter; and

WHEREAS, the City represents that NYPD officers who violate the Patrol Guide revisions referenced in paragraph 10 and attached hereto as Exhibit A may be subject to discipline outlined in applicable NYPD Disciplinary System Penalty Guidelines; and

Case 1:19-cv-02673-VEC Document 170-1 Filed 12/16/22 Page 6 of 25

WHEREAS, plaintiffs have assigned all of their rights to attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs in the above-captioned matter to their counsel, Handley Farah & Anderson PLLC, the Legal Aid Society, and Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP; and

WHEREAS, the parties now desire to resolve the issues raised in this litigation, without further proceedings and without admitting any fault or liability; and

WHEREAS, defendants maintain that the releases agreed to herein should not be used as precedent for future alterations to Special Federal Litigation Division's standard general release ("Standard Release") as this case involved unique circumstances including, but limited to, defendants' belief that plaintiffs had agreed to the Standard Release at the settlement conference before the Hon. Barbara Moses where the parties reached agreement on money damages, which was then followed by several months of negotiation on equitable terms to which the parties reached agreement, and in defendants' view, defendants were only at that point made aware of plaintiffs' position that they did not agree to the Standard Release;

WHEREAS, plaintiffs have authorized their counsel to settle this matter on the terms set forth below;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned, the attorneys of record for the respective parties to the above-captioned action, as follows:

1. The above-referenced action is hereby dismissed against defendants City of New York, NYPD Officer Brian DeStefano, NYPD Officer Daniel Mardjonovic, and NYPD Officer Steven Barry, with prejudice and without costs, expenses, or fees in excess of the amounts specified in paragraphs 2 through 7 below.

Case 1:19-cv-02673-VEC Document 170-1 Filed 12/16/22 Page 7 of 25

2. Defendant City of New York hereby agrees to pay plaintiff Terron Belle the sum of Three Thousand Dollars (\$3,000), as well as to the relief set forth in paragraphs 10 through 13, in full satisfaction of all claims, including claims for costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees. In consideration for the payment of these sums, Terron Belle agrees to the dismissal of all the claims in the above-captioned matter against the defendants City of New York, NYPD Officer Brian DeStefano, NYPD Officer Daniel Mardjonovic, and NYPD Officer Steven Barry, and to release the defendants and any present or former employees and agents of the City of New York or any entity represented by the Office of the Corporation Counsel, from any and all liability, claims, or rights of action known, or reasonably should have been known, alleging a violation of Terron Belle's civil rights and any and all related state law claims, from the beginning of the world to the date of the General Release, including claims for costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees, except for claims, if any, arising from the City's alleged unlawful retention, maintenance, or handling of sealed arrest or criminal court records, claims that would arise if he has been wrongfully listed in the NYPD's Criminal Group Database, claims that would arise if his DNA has been wrongfully collected, stored, or used by the City, and claims arising from the City's COVID-19 vaccination requirements and distribution.

3. Defendant City of New York hereby agrees to pay plaintiff William Rios the sum of Three Thousand Dollars (\$3,000), as well as to the relief set forth in paragraphs 10 through 13, in full satisfaction of all claims, including claims for costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees. In consideration for the payment of these sums, William Rios agrees to the dismissal of all the claims in the above-captioned matter against the defendants City of New York, NYPD Officer Brian DeStefano, NYPD Officer Daniel Mardjonovic, and NYPD Officer Steven Barry, and to release the defendants and any present or former employees and agents of the City of New York

Case 1:19-cv-02673-VEC Document 170-1 Filed 12/16/22 Page 8 of 25

or any entity represented by the Office of the Corporation Counsel, from any and all liability, claims, or rights of action known, or reasonably should have been known, alleging a violation of William Rios' civil rights and any and all related state law claims, from the beginning of the world to the date of the General Release, including claims for costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees, except for claims, if any, arising from the City's alleged unlawful retention, maintenance, or handling of sealed arrest or criminal court records, claims that would arise if he has been wrongfully listed in the NYPD's Criminal Group Database, claims that would arise if his DNA has been wrongfully collected, stored, or used by the City, and claims arising from the City's COVID-19 vaccination requirements and distribution.

4. Defendant City of New York hereby agrees to pay plaintiff Richmond Appiah the sum of Nineteen Thousand Dollars (\$19,000), as well as to the relief set forth in paragraphs 10 through 13, in full satisfaction of all claims, including claims for costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees. In consideration for the payment of these sums, plaintiff Richmond Appiah agrees to the dismissal of all the claims in the above-captioned matter against the defendants City of New York, NYPD Officer Brian DeStefano, NYPD Officer Daniel Mardjonovic, and NYPD Officer Steven Barry, and to release the defendants and any present or former employees and agents of the City of New York or any entity represented by the Office of the Corporation Counsel, from any and all liability, claims, or rights of action known, or reasonably should have been known, alleging a violation of Richmond Appiah's civil rights and any and all related state law claims, from the beginning of the world to the date of the General Release, including claims for costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees, except for claims, if any, arising from the City's alleged unlawful retention, maintenance, or handling of sealed arrest or criminal court records, claims that would arise if he has been wrongfully listed in the NYPD's Criminal Group Database, claims that would

Case 1:19-cv-02673-VEC Document 170-1 Filed 12/16/22 Page 9 of 25

arise if my DNA has been wrongfully collected, stored, or used by the City, claims arising from the City's COVID-19 vaccination requirements and distribution, and claims arising from a traffic stop on May 13, 2021.

5. Defendant City of New York hereby agrees to pay plaintiff Edison Quito the sum of Eight Thousand Dollars (\$8,000), as well as to the relief set forth in paragraphs 10 through 13, in full satisfaction of all claims, including claims for costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees. In consideration for the payment of these sums, plaintiff Edison Quito agrees to the dismissal of all the claims in the above-captioned matter against the defendants City of New York, NYPD Officer Brian DeStefano, NYPD Officer Daniel Mardjonovic, and NYPD Officer Steven Barry, and to release the defendants and any present or former employees and agents of the City of New York or any entity represented by the Office of the Corporation Counsel, from any and all liability, claims, or rights of action known, or reasonably should have been known, alleging a violation of Edison Quito's civil rights and any and all related state law claims, from the beginning of the world to the date of the General Release, including claims for costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees, except for claims, if any, arising from the City's alleged unlawful retention, maintenance, or handling of sealed arrest or criminal court records, claims that would arise if he has been wrongfully listed in the NYPD's Criminal Group Database, claims that would arise if his DNA has been wrongfully collected, stored, or used by the City, claims arising from the City's COVID-19 vaccination requirements, and claims arising from the arrest of my wife, Diana Ordonez, in the summer of 2021.

Defendant City of New York hereby agrees to pay plaintiff Luis Rios the sum of Three Thousand Dollars (\$3,000), as well as to the relief set forth in paragraphs 10 through 13, in full satisfaction of all claims, including claims for costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees. In

Case 1:19-cv-02673-VEC Document 170-1 Filed 12/16/22 Page 10 of 25

consideration for the payment of these sums, plaintiff Luis Rios agrees to the dismissal of all the claims in the above-captioned matter against the defendants City of New York, NYPD Officer Brian DeStefano, NYPD Officer Daniel Mardjonovic, and NYPD Officer Steven Barry, and to release the defendants and any present or former employees and agents of the City of New York or any entity represented by the Office of the Corporation Counsel, from any and all liability, claims, or rights of action known, or reasonably should have been known, alleging a violation of Luis Rios' civil rights and any and all related state law claims, from the beginning of the world to the date of the General Release, including claims for costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees, except for claims, if any, arising from the City's alleged unlawful retention, maintenance, or handling of sealed arrest or criminal court records, claims that would arise if he has been wrongfully listed in the NYPD's Criminal Group Database, and claims that would arise if his DNA has been wrongfully collected, stored, or used by the City.

7. Defendant City of New York hereby agrees to pay plaintiffs' counsel, Handley Farah & Anderson PLLC, the Legal Aid Society, and Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP, the total sum of Four Hundred and Seventeen Thousand, Seven Hundred Thirty-Three Dollars (\$417,733) in full satisfaction of plaintiffs' claims for attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs in the above-captioned matter. In consideration for the payment of \$417,733, plaintiffs and their counsel hereby release and discharge defendants City of New York, NYPD Officer Brian DeStefano, NYPD Officer Daniel Mardjonovic, and NYPD Officer Steven Barry; their successors or assigns; and all past and present officials, employees, representatives, and agents of the City of New York or any entity represented by the Office of the Corporation Counsel, from any and all claims of attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs that were or could have been alleged in the above-captioned matter.

Case 1:19-cv-02673-VEC Document 170-1 Filed 12/16/22 Page 11 of 25

8. Plaintiffs hereby agree and represent that no other claims for attorneys' fees, expenses, or costs arising out of this action shall be made by or on behalf of plaintiff in any application for attorneys' fees, expenses, or costs at any time. Plaintiffs also agree that they have assigned their rights to the attorneys' fees, costs and expenses set forth in paragraph 7 above to their undersigned attorneys.

9. Plaintiffs shall execute and deliver to the defendants' attorney all documents necessary to effect this settlement, including, without limitation, General Releases based on the terms of paragraphs 2-8 above and Affidavits of Status of Liens. Prior to tendering the requisite documents to effect this settlement, Medicare-recipient plaintiffs must obtain and submit a final demand letter from Medicare for the reimbursement of any conditional payments made by Medicare for any injury or condition that is the subject of this lawsuit. A Medicare Set-Aside Trust may also be required if future anticipated medical costs are found to be necessary pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1395y(b) and 42 C.F.R. §§ 411.22 through 411.26.

The defendant City represents that NYPD Patrol Guide Procedure Nos. 208 22 and 208-23, attached as Exhibit A, have been issued in the standard procedure for issuance of such patrol guide sections.

11. The defendant City represents that a FINEST Message in the form annexed hereto as Exhibit B has been issued by the NYPD, and Commanding Officers of each precinct (or the equivalent) have or will ensure that members of their commands are apprised of the contents of this FINEST Message. The defendant City represents that the above-referenced FINEST Message (Ex. B) is being read at each roll call at every command for ten (10) consecutive days beginning on December 9, 2022. Six months from the date of this stipulation, the defendant City

Case 1:19-cv-02673-VEC Document 170-1 Filed 12/16/22 Page 12 of 25

will direct each command to read the FINEST message (Ex. B) at each roll call at each command for five (5) consecutive days.

12. On or before January 31, 2023, The NYPD represents that it will conduct a customary command level training based on the New York City Police Academy Training Memo entitled "Warrant and I-Card Checks," a copy of which is annexed hereto as Exhibit C. In furtherance thereof, the training sergeant for each precinct (or the equivalent) attended on December 6, 2022 a training seminar on the contents of such Training Memo. The training sergeant for each precinct (or the equivalent) attended in compliance with their responsibilities under Patrol Guide No. 202-19.

13. Any future modification of Patrol Guide Procedures Nos. 208-22 and 208-23 or the related training referred to herein will comply with the laws of the United States of America, the State of New York, and the City of New York. Plaintiffs' counsel will be provided notice of any substantive changes to the revisions of Patrol Guide Procedures Nos. 208-22 or 208-23 set forth herein, if any, for a period of 12 months from the effective date of the revisions to Patrol Guide Procedures Nos. 208-22 and 208-23 set forth herein.

14. Nothing contained herein shall be deemed to be an admission by the defendants that they have in any manner or way violated plaintiffs' rights, or the rights of any other person or entity, as defined in the constitutions, statutes, ordinances, rules or regulations of the United States, the State of New York, or the City of New York or any other rules or regulations of any department or subdivision of the City of New York. The terms of this stipulation shall not be admissible in, nor are they related to, any other litigation or settlement negotiations, except to enforce the terms of this agreement.

Case 1:19-cv-02673-VEC Document 170-1 Filed 12/16/22 Page 13 of 25

15. Nothing contained herein shall be deemed to constitute a policy or practice of the City of New York or any agency thereof.

16. Plaintiffs agree to hold harmless the City of New York regarding any liens or past and/or future Medicare payments, presently known or unknown, in connection with this matter. If conditional and/or future anticipated Medicare payments have not been satisfied, the City of New York reserves the right to issue a multiparty settlement check naming Medicare as a payee or to issue a check to Medicare directly based upon Medicare's final demand letter.

17. This Stipulation of Settlement contains all the terms and conditions agreed upon by the parties hereto, and no oral agreement entered into at any time nor any written agreement entered into prior to the execution of this Stipulation of Settlement regarding the subject matter of the instant proceeding shall be deemed to exist, or to bind the parties hereto, or to vary the terms and conditions contained herein.

Dated: New York, New York _____, 2022

CYRUS JOUBIN 43 West 43rd Street, Suite 119 New York, NY 10036

By:

Cyrus Joubin

HANDLEY FARAH & ANDERSON PLLC Attorneys for Plaintiffs 777 6th Street NW, 11th Fl. Washington, DC 20001

By:

George Farah Matthew Handley Rebecca P. Chang HON. SYLVIA O. HINDS-RADIX Corporation Counsel of the City of New York Attorney for Defendants City of New York, Brian Destefano, Daniel Mardjonovic and Steven Barry 100 Church Street, 4th Floor New York, New York 10007

By:_

Mark Zuckerman Senior Counsel

Case 1:19-cv-02673-VEC Document 170-1 Filed 12/16/22 Page 14 of 25

THE LEGAL AID SOCIETY Attorneys for Plaintiffs 199 Water Street New York, NY 10038

By:

Corey Stoughton Steven Wasserman Molly Griffard

STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP *Attorneys for Plaintiffs* 180 Maiden Lane New York, NY 10038

By:

David J. Kahne Michael Mallon

Exhibit A

Case 1:19-cv-02673-VEC Document 170-1 Filed 12/16/22 Page 16 of 25 PATROL GUIDE



MEMBER

CONCERNED

| Section: Arrests | are No: 208-22 | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------|--|--|
| PERFORMING LOCAL, STATE AND FEDERAL WARRANT CHECKS | | | | |
| DATE EFFECTIVE: | LAST REVISION: | PAGE: | | |
| 10/20/2022 | I.O. 105 | 1 of 3 | | |

PURPOSE To assess an individual's fugitive status.

- **POLICY** A person may not be detained while a search for a warrant is conducted unless reasonable suspicion the person was committing, committed or is about to commit a felony or a Penal Law misdemeanor or there is probable cause the person committed a crime, violation, or vehicle infraction. Authority to detain a suspect ends when the tasks tied to the reason for the stop are completed or reasonably should have been completed. Once the tasks tied to the reason for the stop are completed or the stop are completed or reasonably should have been completed. A person may not continue to be detained to search for a warrant.
- **PROCEDURE** Whenever it is necessary to conduct a warrant check:
 - 1. Conduct a warrant check using appropriate Department resources (i.e., Domain Awareness System [DAS/DAS Lite], FINEST, Communications Section, etc.).
 - a. Include search of state and federal warrant files (when using DAS/DAS Lite, check "Include NYSPIN Results").
 - b. Conduct further inquiry if there is an exact pedigree match and/or a corresponding New York State Identification (NYSID) number (using the "NYSID" search box within DAS/DAS Lite).
 - c. View "Summary Report" after search results are received when using DAS/DAS Lite to check if aliases or different names have different results.
 - 2. Determine if an active warrant exists.
 - a. View warrant within appropriate Department databases, if applicable.
 - 3. Determine if an Originating Case Agency serial number (OCA #) or an **INVESTIGATION CARD (PD373-163)** has been assigned.
 - a. Comply with "Additional Data" statement, if certain OCA #s have been assigned.
 - b. Comply with *P.G. 208-23, "Computerized Investigation Card System,"* if an **INVESTIGATION CARD** has been assigned.
 - 4. Verify validity of warrant (i.e., contact Warrant Verification Desk, Real Time Crime Center, utilize Criminal Record Information and Management System [CRIMS], etc.).

ADDITIONAL <u>WARRANTS</u>

DATA

Warrants are issued OCA #s by Warrant Section to identify a warrant as follows:

NEW • YORK • CITY • POLICE • DEPARTMENT

PATROL GUIDE

| PROCEDURE NUMBER: | CEDURE NUMBER: DATE EFFECTIVE: | | LAST REVISION: | PAGE: | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 208-22 10/20/ | | 10/20/2022 | I.O. 105 | 2 of 3 | |
| ADDITIONAL DATA (continued) | LETTER YEAR | | Designates the TYPE OF WARRANT and COURT OF ISSUANCE Followed by YEAR | | |
| (community) | | | Designates the year issued. Followed by NUMBER | | |
| NUMBER | | <i>IBER</i> | Indicates the BOROUGH DESIGNATION of the court and is followed by an additional/sequential number | | |
| | following | An example of a OCA # R20181 $R \implies Sup$ $2018 \implies Yea$ $1 \implies Man$ $00001 \implies The$ duri | Brooklyn - 2, Bronx - 3, an OCA #: 00001 reme Court/Bench Warr r of Issuance nhattan first Supreme Court/Benc ing the year 2018. | Queens - 4, Richmond - 5 cant h Warrant issued in Manhattan indicating WARRANT TYPE and | |

The following is a list of OCA # letter designations indicating WARRANT TYPE and COURT OF ISSUANCE:

| <u>LETTER</u> | <u>TYPE OF WARRANT</u> | <u>COURT</u> | <u>VERIFICATION</u> |
|---------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| A | Summons | Criminal Court | CRIMS |
| В | Summons/Unclassified | Criminal Court | CRIMS |
| | MISD | | |
| С | Bench Warrant/MISD. | Criminal Court | CRIMS |
| D | Bench Warrant/FELONY | Criminal Court | CRIMS |
| Ε | Arrest Warrant | Criminal Court | CRIMS |
| F | Arrest Warrant | Supreme Court | CRIMS |
| Н | P.I.N.S. | Family Court | Family Court |
| J | Juvenile Delinquent | Family Court | Family Court |
| Κ | Bench Warrant/Violation | Criminal Court | CRIMS |
| M | Other Family Court Act | Family Court | Family Court |
| N | Abuse/Neglect | Family Court | Family Court |
| Р | Violation Probation | Supreme Court | CRIMS |
| R | Bench Warrant | Supreme Court | CRIMS |
| | | | |

Case 1:19-cv-02673-VEC Document 170-1 Filed 12/16/22 Page 18 of 25

| PATROL GUIDE | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| PROCEDURE NUMBER: | DATE EFFECTIVE: | | LAST REVISION: | PAGE: | |
| 208-22 | | 10/20/2022 | I.O. 105 | 3 of 3 | |
| DATA (continued) | TTER S V W Y Q X I | <u>TYPE OF WARRAN</u> Parole Violator Bench/FEL vs Perso Support Material Witness Recidivist Narcotic Violator Investigation | New York State Department of Corrections and Community Supervision (DOCCS) | <u>VERIFICATION</u> DOCCS CRIMS Family Court NYPD DA SQUAD Notify Unit Concerned NICC Detective Borough Wheel | |

An OCA # designation preceded by the letter A, B, C, D, E, F, H, J, K, M, N, P, R, S, V, W, or Y is an indication of a POSSIBLE WARRANT and is <u>not</u> sufficient authority for arrest.

<u>Family Court</u> and <u>Parole Warrant</u> status <u>cannot</u> be verified utilizing the CRIMS court computer application.

A search indicating letter designations H, J, M, N or W, are Family Court warrants and require verification from the Family Court concerned (i.e., Manhattan, Brooklyn, Bronx, Queens and/or Staten Island). <u>A docket number is needed</u>.

Telephone verifications are to be made during Family Court business hours, 0900 to 1700 hours, Monday through Friday.

"J" (Juvenile Warrants) can be verified by contacting Juvenile Crime Desk, (Real Time Crime Center).

If DAS/DAS Lite search indicates letter designation "S," be guided by instructions provided by DAS/DAS Lite.

CRIMS is controlled by the N.Y.S. Office of Court Administration. User access is granted by the state. Members can apply for access via NYS Unified Court System CRIM Application Security Request For NON-UCS Personnel (Form UCS-SEC-1 CRIM) by contacting the NYS Office of Court Administration.

Members of the service are directed to refer to <u>Patrol Guide 212-11</u>, <u>"Investigative</u> <u>Encounters: Requests for Information, Common Law Right of Inquiry and Level 3</u> <u>Stops"</u> when detaining individuals.

FORMS ANDINVESTIGATION CARD (PD373-163)REPORTS

NEW • YORK • CITY • POLICE • DEPARTMENT

Case 1:19-cv-02673-VEC Document 170-1 Filed 12/16/22 Page 19 of 25 PATROL GUIDE



 Section:
 Arrests
 Procedure No:
 208-23

 COMPUTERIZED INVESTIGATION CARD SYSTEM

 DATE EFFECTIVE:
 LAST REVISION:
 PAGE:

 10/20/22
 I.O. 105
 1 of 3

PURPOSETo ensure that an investigator originating an INVESTIGATION CARD (PD373-
163) is notified, as appropriate, when an individual named in INVESTIGATION
CARD comes into contact with police.

- **POLICY** A person may not be detained while a search for an **INVESTIGATION CARD** is conducted unless reasonable suspicion the person was committing, committed or is about to commit a felony or a Penal Law misdemeanor or there is probable cause the person committed a crime, violation, or vehicle infraction. Authority to detain a suspect ends when the tasks tied to the reason for the stop are completed or reasonably should have been completed. Once the tasks tied to the reason for the stop are completed or reasonably should have been completed, a person may not continue to be detained to search for an **INVESTIGATION CARD**.
- **PROCEDURE** When a uniformed member of the service becomes aware of an **INVESTIGATION CARD** in an arrest situation or a non-arrest situation:

ARREST SITUATIONS

- **ARRESTING** 1. Follow appropriate arrest processing guidelines.
 - 2. Determine if prisoner is subject of an **INVESTIGATION CARD**.
 - a. Conduct name check of individual using appropriate Department resources.
 - 3. Contact appropriate originator when an active INVESTIGATION CARD is discovered.
 - a. Contact appropriate Detective Borough Wheel, if **INVESTIGATION CARD** is originated by a member of Detective Bureau.
 - (1) Contact Detective Bureau Wheel, if Detective Borough Wheel is unavailable.
 - b. Contact Intelligence Bureau's Criminal Intelligence Section, Regional Intelligence Support Center (RISC), if **INVESTIGATION CARD** is originated by an outside agency or Field Intelligence Officer (FIO).
 - 4. Comply with instructions provided.

INDIVIDUAL IS NOT UNDER ARREST

- 5. Determine if individual is subject of an **INVESTIGATION CARD**.
 - a. Conduct name check of individual using appropriate Department resources.
 - b. Determine whether **INVESTIGATION CARD** indicates individual is a:
 - (1) Perpetrator Probable Cause To Arrest

NEW • YORK • CITY • POLICE • DEPARTMENT

UNIFORMED MEMBER OF THE SERVICE

OFFICER

Case 1:19-cv-02673-VEC Document 170-1 Filed 12/16/22 Page 20 of 25

PATROL GUIDE

| PROCEDURE NUMBER: | | DATE EFFECTIVE: | LAST REVISION: | PAGE: | |
|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 208-23 | | 10/20/22 I.O. 105 | | 2 of 3 | |
| UNIFORMED MEMBER OF THE SERVICE (continued) | 6. 7. 8. | (3) Witness Contact appropriate INVESTIGATION C a. Contact Detection unavailable. b. Contact Intelling Regional Intelling CARD origination c. Confirm validition Detain individual name "Perpetrator - Probable a. Obtain further investigator, in DO NOT handcuff INVESTIGATION C Cause To Arrest" or "W a. Inform individual b. Comply with in Instructions of INVESTIGATI c. Attempt to get | Detective Borough ARD. ctive Bureau Wheel, if igence Bureau's Crimin gence Support Center (RIS red by an outside agency or cy of INVESTIGATION ed on INVESTIGATION de Cause To Arrest." r instructions from app vestigative supervisor, De or otherwise physically ARD is described as "Su Witness." ual he/she is free to leave nstructions provided by in for Apprehending Offi FION CARD, if possible; | Wheel that originated f Detective Borough is nal Intelligence Section, SC), if INVESTIGATION FIO. CARD . N CARD , if described as propriate authority (i.e., etective Bureau Captain). <i>x</i> restrain individual , if <i>spect Only - No Probable</i> at any time; <u>and</u> , vestigator in the " <i>Specific</i> <i>cers</i> " caption box of | |
| UNIFORMED MEMBER OF THE SERVICE DESK OFFICER/ | 9. 10. 11. | on INVESTIGATIO contacted in regard and Notify desk officer reg | N CARD, rank, name an d instructions received. garding INVESTIGATIO | nent information received d tax number of member ON CARD. GATION CARD is listed | |
| COUNTERPART | | specifically informed is not to be arrested, the a. Ensure individe restrained; and b. Ensure, individe time; and, c. Ensure, the in <i>"Specific Instruction the INVESTIC d. Ensure an attemption of the analytic and the analytic analytic</i> | by competent authority then: ual is NOT handcuffed <u>d</u> , lual is informed that he/s instructions provided by <i>uctions for Apprehending</i> GATION CARD are perfer | lual to voluntarily consent | |

Case 1:19-cv-02673-VEC Document 170-1 Filed 12/16/22 Page 21 of 25

PATROL GUIDE

| PROCEDURE NUMBER: | DATE EFFECTIVE: | LAST REVISION: | PAGE: |
|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------|
| 208-23 | 10/20/22 | I.O. 105 | 3 of 3 |

ADDITIONALMembers of the service are directed to refer to Patrol Guide 212-11, "InvestigativeDATAEncounters: Requests for Information, Common Law Right of Inquiry and Level 3
Stops" when detaining individuals.

FORMS ANDINVESTIGATION CARD (PD 373-163)REPORTS

NEW • YORK • CITY • POLICE • DEPARTMENT

Exhibit B



DATE:2022-12-09TIME:10:21:23SER#:42304245

FINEST MESSAGE General Administrative Information

TO: ALL COMMANDS

RE: NEW PATROL GUIDE PROCEDURE NUMBERS 208-22, "PERFORMING LOCAL, STATE AND FEDERAL WARRANT CHECKS" AND 208-23, "COMPUTERIZED INVESTIGATION CARD SYSTEM"

ON OCTOBER 26, 2022, THE DEPARTMENT ISSUED NEW PATROL GUIDE PROCEDURE NUMBERS 208-22 AND 208-23 RELATED TO WARRANT CHECKS AND INVESTIGATION CARDS. THESE PROCEDURES INFORM MEMBERS OF SERVICE THAT A PERSON MAY NOT BE DETAINED WHILE A SEARCH FOR A WARRANT OR INVESTIGATION CARD IS CONDUCTED UNLESS REASONABLE SUSPICION THAT THE PERSON WAS COMMITTING, COMMITTED OR IS ABOUT TO COMMIT A FELONY OR PENAL LAW MISDEMEANOR, OR THERE IS PROBABLE CAUSE THAT THE PERSON COMMITTED A CRIME, VIOLATION, OR TRAFFIC INFRACTION. ONCE REASONABLE SUSPICION IS ESTABLISHED, THE SUSPECT MAY ONLY BE DETAINED AS LONG AS NECESSARY TO CONFIRM OR DISPEL SUSPICION. THE AUTHORITY TO DETAIN A SUSPECT ENDS WHEN THE TASK RELATED TO THE REASON(S) FOR THE STOP ARE COMPLETED OR REASONABLY SHOULD HAVE BEEN COMPLETED, AND THAT PERSON MAY NO LONGER BE DETAINED FOR THE PURPOSE OF SEARCHING FOR A WARRANT OR INVESTIGATION CARD.

PLEASE REFER TO PATROL GUIDE PROCEDURE 212-21, "INVESTIGATIVE ENCOUNTERS: REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION, COMMON LAW RIGHT OF INQUIRY AND LEVEL 3 STOPS" WHEN DETAINING INDIVIDUALS.

QUESTIONS ABOUT THESE PATROL GUIDE PROCEDURES MAY BE DIRECTED TO THE LEGAL BUREAU AT (646) 610-5400, MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY, 0700 - 2300 HOURS. AT ALL OTHER TIMES, AN ON-CALL ATTORNEY MAY BE REACHED VIA THE OPERATIONS DIVISION. COMMANDING OFFICERS WILL ENSURE THAT MEMBERS OF THEIR COMMANDS ARE APPRAISED OF THE CONTENTS OF THIS MESSAGE. ADDITIONALLY, THIS MESSAGE IS TO BE READ AT ROLL CALL AT EVERY COMMAND FOR 10 CONSECUTIVE DAYS. A SUBSEQUENT FINEST MESSAGE WILL DIRECT THAT THE CONTENTS OF THIS MESSAGE BE READ FOR AN ADDITIONAL FIVE CONSECUTIVE DAYS.

AUTHORITY: ACTING DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, LEGAL MATTERS OPERATOR: DET SMERTIUK LOG #S: OCD 2022-5625, DCLM 1024/2022, PALS 2022-707 APRT

Exhibit C



WARRANT and I-Card CHECKS

PURPOSE

As a result of a settlement of a putative class action lawsuit in federal court where plaintiffs allege that NYPD was unlawfully detaining individuals to conduct "record searches" including warrants and I-cards, Legal Bureau implemented changes to the Patrol Guide Sections 208-22 "Performing Local, State and Federal Warrant Checks" and 208-23 "Computerized Investigation Card System". This training memo reemphasizes Department policy with regard to when warrant and I-card searches may be performed by members of the service.

KEY POINTS OF THE REVISIONS

- A person may not be detained while a search for a warrant or investigation card is conducted unless reasonable suspicion exists that the person was committing, committed or is about to commit a felony or Penal Law misdemeanor or there is probable cause that the person committed a crime, violation, or vehicle infraction.
- Once reasonable suspicion is established, the suspect may be detained only as long as necessary to confirm or dispel your suspicion.
- The authority to detain an individual ends when the tasks tied to the reason for the stop are completed or reasonably should have been completed.
- A member of the service may not continue to detain an individual for the purpose of searching for a warrant or I-card when the tasks tied to the reason for the stop are completed or reasonably should have been completed.

EXAMPLE

• Should you stop a vehicle based on probable cause that the driver is talking on a cellphone, but when you approach you realize that she/he was not talking on a cellphone, you may not continue the stop of the vehicle in order to run a warrant or I-card search after you realize that no offense had taken place.

NYPD officers who violate the Patrol Guide revisions to 208-22 and 208-23 that are outlined in this bulletin may be subject to discipline under NYPD Disciplinary System Penalty Guidelines.

Members of Service are directed to refer to Patrol Guide 212-11 "Investigative Encounters: Requests for Information, Common Law Right of Inquiry and Level 3 Stops" when detaining individuals.

If a member of the service has questions related to performing a warrant, I-card, or any other record search, they may call the Legal Bureau at 646-610-5400.