UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK



DIANA ESTEVEZ, ARCELIANO GONZALEZ, GERMANIA GONZALEZ, ALBANIA NUNEZ, ANA REYES, MARIA PAULINO, MARIA TORRES, MARIA MORONTA, NANCY GARCIA, ZOILA PINZON, EMMA ROMAN, BERNARDINA INIRIO, MARY ALBERICCI, GILMA BERMUDEZ, EVELYN TAVARES, GUADALUPE GODINEZ, GLADYS ABREU and RAMONA CALDERON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-



COSMOPOLITAN ASSOCIATES LLC

Defendant
X

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This is an action brought by low income tenants residing at Cosmopolitan Houses, a large residential complex owned by defendant Cosmopolitan Associates LLC (hereinafter "Cosmopolitan"). Plaintiffs face eviction from their homes where most of them have lived for well over two decades because their landlord, defendant Cosmopolitan has refused to accept their enhanced Section 8 vouchers paid on their by the New York City's Department of Housing Preservation and Development (hereinafter "HPD"). Plaintiffs rely on these rental subsidies to pay a portion of their rent and cannot afford the entire contract rent on their low incomes. The defendant landlord is a formerly HUD subsidized landlord and as such, the defendant's actions violate 42 U.S.C. Section 1437f(t) and the directives of the United States Department of Housing & Urban Development ("HUD").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1331. 2.
- This Court has authority to grant declaratory relief pursuant to the Declaratory Judgment 3. Act, 28 U.S.C. § 22201 et seq.
- Venue is proper in the Eastern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1391(b) 4. because both plaintiffs and the defendant are located in this district.

PARTIES

THE PLAINTIFFS <u>A.</u>

- Plaintiff Diana Estevez moved to Cosmopolitan Houses (the "subject premises") in 1969 5. and resides with her daughter at 45-15 48th Street, Apartment 3C. She is disabled and receives disability benefits. She received a letter from defendant informing her that starting October 1, 2005 defendant Cosmopolitan will no longer accept her Section 8 subsidy and that she will have to pay the entire rent of almost \$800.00.
- Plaintiff Arceliano Gonzalez moved to Cosmopolitan Houses in approximately 1984 and resides at 45-15 48th Street, Apartment 2C with his two children. Mr. Gonzalez is disabled and receives social security benefits. He received a letter from defendant informing him that starting October 1, 2005 defendant Cosmopolitan will no longer accept his Section 8 subsidy and that he will have to pay the entire rent of almost \$800.00.
- Plaintiff Germania Gonzalez moved to Cosmopolitan Houses in 1974 and resides with 7. her daughter at 47-15 48th Street, Apartment 2H. She received a letter from defendant informing her that starting July 1, 2005 defendant Cosmopolitan will no longer accept her Section 8 subsidy and that she will have to pay the entire rent of \$753.49...

- Plaintiff Albania Nunez moved to Cosmopolitan Houses in 1981 and resides at 45-15 48th 8. Street, Apartment 5H with her two children and her grandson. She received a letter from defendant informing her that starting October 1, 2005 defendant Cosmopolitan will no longer accept her Section 8 subsidy and that she will have to pay the entire rent of over \$900.
- Plaintiff Ana Reyes moved to Cosmopolitan Houses in 1978 and resides at 45-15 48th 9. Street, Apartment 5A with her two adult children. She received a letter from defendant informing her that starting October 1, 2005 defendant Cosmopolitan will no longer accept her Section 8 subsidy and that she will have to pay the entire rent of over \$900 on her limited earnings as a home healthcare aide.
- Plaintiff Maria Paulino moved to Cosmopolitan Houses in 2001 and resides at 45-15 48TH 10. Street, Apartment 5D. She received a letter from defendant informing her that starting October 1, 2005 defendant Cosmopolitan will no longer accept her Section 8 subsidy and that she will have to pay the entire rent of almost \$700.
- Plaintiff Maria Torres moved to Cosmopolitan Houses in 1993 and resides at 47-25 48th 11. Street, Apartment 5E. She received a letter from defendant informing her that starting July 1, 2005 defendant Cosmopolitan will no longer accept her Section 8 subsidy and that she will have to pay the entire rent of \$680.00, more than she receives from her disability benefits. On information and belief, defendant Cosmopolitan has refused the subsidy payments made on her behalf by HPD and has served her a rent demand for the entire contract rent.
- Plaintiff Maria Moronta moved to Cosmopolitan Houses approximately in 1998 and 12. resides at 45-25 48th Street, Apartment 5B with her two children. She received a letter from defendant informing her that starting October 1, 2005 defendant Cosmopolitan will no longer

accept her Section 8 subsidy and that she will have to pay the entire rent of almost \$800, almost 70% of her earnings from she supports her family.

- Plaintiff Zoila Pinzon moved to Cosmopolitan Houses in 1977 and resides at 45-35 48th 13. Street, Apartment 3B. She received a letter from defendant informing her that starting October 1, 2005 defendant Cosmopolitan will no longer accept her Section 8 subsidy and that she will have to pay the entire rent of over \$800 which is about what she receives from social security and a small pension.
- Plaintiff Nancy Garcia moved to Cosmopolitan Houses approximately in 1990 and resides 14. at 45-35 48th Street, Apartment 4F with her daughter. She received a letter from defendant informing her that starting October 1, 2005 defendant Cosmopolitan will no longer accept her Section 8 subsidy and that she will have to pay the entire rent of \$772.00, more than she receives from her disability benefits and child support.
- Plaintiff Emma Roman moved to Cosmopolitan Houses in 1975 and resides at 45-55 48th 15. Street, Apartment 2H with her two children and grandchild. She supports her family on her disability benefits and food stamps. She received a letter from defendant informing her that starting April 1, 2005 defendant Cosmopolitan will no longer accept her Section 8 subsidy and that she will have to pay the entire rent of almost \$900. On information and belief, defendant Cosmopolitan has refused the subsidy payments made on her behalf by HPD.
- Plaintiff Bernadina Inirio moved to Cosmopolitan Houses in 1979 and resides at 47-05 16. 48th Street, Apartment 1H. She received a letter from defendant informing her that starting July 1, 2005 defendant Cosmopolitan will no longer accept her Section 8 subsidy and that she will have to pay the entire rent of \$897.16 which she cannot afford on her social security and food

stamps. On information and belief, defendant Cosmopolitan has refused the subsidy payments made on her behalf by HPD and has served her with a rent demand for the entire contract rent.

- 17. Plaintiff Mary Albericci moved to Cosmopolitan Houses in 1976 and resides at 47-15 48th Street, Apartment 4H with her son. She received a letter from defendant informing her that starting July 1, 2005 defendant Cosmopolitan will no longer accept her Section 8 subsidy and that she will have to pay the entire rent of \$735. On information and belief, defendant Cosmopolitan has refused the subsidy payments made on her behalf by HPD.
- Plaintiff Gilma Bermudez moved to Cosmopolitan Houses in 1976 and resides at 47-06 49th Street, Apartment 2F with her daughter. She received a letter from defendant informing her that starting June 1, 2005 defendant Cosmopolitan will no longer accept her Section 8 subsidy and that she will have to pay the entire rent of over \$790 which is about what she receives from child support. On information and belief, defendant Cosmopolitan has refused the subsidy payments made on her behalf by HPD and plaintiff is now being sued for the entire contract rent in the Housing Part of Civil Court.
- 19. Plaintiff Evelyn Tavares moved to Cosmopolitan Houses in 1992 and resides at 47-06 49th Street, Apartment 3A with her daughter. She received a letter from defendant informing her that starting June 1, 2005 defendant Cosmopolitan will no longer accept her Section 8 subsidy and that she will have to pay the entire rent of over \$773.10 which is more than she receives in disability benefits. On information and belief, defendant Cosmopolitan has refused the subsidy payments made on her behalf by HPD.
- 20. Plaintiff Guadalupe Godinez moved to Cosmopolitan Houses in 1989 and resides at 47-16 49th Street, Apartment 2F. She received a letter from defendant informing her that starting

June 1, 2005 defendant Cosmopolitan will no longer accept her Section 8 subsidy and that she will have to pay the entire rent of over \$780. On information and belief, defendant Cosmopolitan has refused the subsidy payments made on her behalf by HPD and is suing her for the entire contract rent in the Housing Part of Civil Court.

- 21. Plaintiff Gladys Abreu moved to Cosmopolitan Houses approximately in 1997 and resides at 47-26 49th Street, Apartment 5A with three children. She received a letter from defendant informing her that starting June 1, 2005 defendant Cosmopolitan will no longer accept her Section 8 subsidy and that she will have to pay the entire rent of over \$900 which is more than she earns. On information and belief, defendant Cosmopolitan has refused the subsidy payments made on her behalf by HPD and is suing for the entire contract rent in the Housing Part of Civil Court.
- Plaintiff Ramona Calderon moved to Cosmopolitan Houses in 1997 and resides at 47-26 49th Street, Apartment 4B. She received a letter from defendant informing her that starting June 1, 2005 defendant Cosmopolitan will no longer accept her Section 8 subsidy and that she will have to pay the entire rent of over \$795 which is about what she receives from social security and a small pension. On information and belief, defendant Cosmopolitan has refused the subsidy payments made on her behalf by HPD and is suing her for the entire contract rent in the Housing Part of Civil Court.

B. THE DEFENDANT

23. Defendant Cosmopolitan Associates (hereinafter "Cosmopolitan") is a domestic corporation, established and existing in the State of New York, having its principal place of business at 47-01 49th Street, Woodside, New York 11377. Cosmopolitan is the owner of

Cosmopolitan Houses, a large complex located in Woodside and Sunnyside in Queens consisting of over 1500 apartments in approximately 38 buildings. Sixteen of these buildings, located on 48th and 49th Street in Sunnyside were subsidized by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (hereinafter "HUD") with project-based rental subsidies until 2003 when defendant Cosmopolitan opted out of its contract. After the opt-out, plaintiffs were provided with tenant-based "enhanced" vouchers which defendant Cosmopolitan now refuses to accept in violation of federal and state laws.

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY SCHEME

Federal Law protects the right of current tenants who receive enhanced 24. vouchers to continue to reside in their apartments, utilizing enhanced Section 8 vouchers to remain in place. 42 U.S.C. §1437f(t). This statute provides that families renting at the time of the termination of the project-based subsidy contract have the right to remain in their units, using enhanced vouchers, for so long as the apartments qualify for assistance and the tenants remain eligible for the vouchers. In pertinent part, the text of 42 U.S.C. § 1437f(t)(1)(b) reads as follows:

> Enhanced voucher assistance under this subsection for a family shall be voucher assistance under subsection (o), of this section, except that under such enhanced voucher assistance -

(B) the assisted family may elect to remain in the same project in which the family was residing on the date of the eligibility event for the project...

(Emphasis added).

Eligibility event is defined in 42 U.S.C.A. § 1437f(t)(2) as follows: 25.

> For purposes of this subsection, the term "eligibility event" means, with respect to a multifamily housing project, ...the termination or expiration of the contract for rental assistance under this section for

such housing project...results in tenants in such housing project being eligible for enhanced voucher assistance under this subsection.

The Department of Housing and Urban Development (hereinafter "HUD") has made it 26. clear that formerly HUD subsidized landlords must accept tenants' enhanced vouchers and allow them to remain in place. HUD's Section 8 Renewal Policy Guidebook, issued by the Office of Multifamily Housing on January 19, 2001, provides guidance on an owner's responsibility to accept enhanced voucher assistance on behalf of eligible tenants if an owner opts-out of the Section 8 project-based contract. For example, Chapter 11, Section 3, of the handbook entitled "Tenant Protections," provides that

> tenants who receive an enhanced voucher have the right to remain in their units as long as the units are offered for rental housing when issued an enhanced voucher sufficient to pay the rent charged for the unit, provided that the rent is reasonable. Owners may not terminate the tenancy of a tenant who exercises this right except for cause under Federal, State, or local law.

- Appendices 11-1 and 11-2 prescribe the required form of opt-out notice which an 27. owner is required to send to tenants, which includes a statement that the Federal law allows the tenant to elect to continue living at the current property and which includes a certification that the owner will honor the tenant's right as a tenant to remain at the property. Section 8-1 of the HUD Guidebook requires owners to certify that they will honor the tenant's right to remain after the owner opts-out. See also Section 1-6 ¶ I, which states that owners must certify that they will comply with the requirement to allow families with enhanced vouchers who elect to remain to do so as long as the property remains a rental property.
- Similarly, HUD Notice PIH 2001-41 which was issued on November 14, 2001 and 28. references Section 8 Tenant-Based Assistance (Enhanced and Regular Housing Choice

Vouchers) For Housing Conversion Actions specifically states in part II (B) entitled "Enhanced voucher family right to remain" that "[a] family that receives an enhanced voucher has the right to remain in the project as long as the units are used for rental housing." See also HUD Notice PIH 99-36; HUD Notice PIH 00-09; and HUD Notice PIH 01-13.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- Plaintiffs are low income tenants who reside in various apartments in buildings located at 29. 48th and 49th Street ("the subject premises"), and owned by defendant Cosmopolitan as part of its large rental complex. Plaintiffs are long-term tenants and many have lived at the premises for over two decades. They receive Section 8 rental subsidies and rely on these subsidies to pay a substantial portion of their rent which they otherwise could not afford on their low wages or fixed incomes.
- On information and belief, in the mid-80's these buildings were rehabilitated with federal 30. and private funds through a program administered by the Department of Housing Preservation and Development of the City of New York (hereinafter "HPD"). In order to prevent the displacement of low income tenants as a result of rent increases authorized by the program, HPD provided these buildings with project based rental subsidies for apartments occupied by low income and therefore eligible tenants. The subsidies were provided by HUD under its Moderate Section 8 program but were administered by HPD.
- On information and belief, at the completion of the buildings' rehabilitation in the mid-31. 80's, defendant Cosmopolitan entered into a project-based subsidy contract with HPD. Under this contract, known as Housing Assistance Payments ("HAP") contract, plaintiffs' rents were subsidized.
- In 2002, each of the plaintiffs, along with numerous other tenants of the subject buildings, 32.

received a letter from an attorney stating that defendant Cosmopolitan had determined that it would not renew the "Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments Moderate Rehabilitation Program presently existing as it regards your apartment and it is the intention of Cosmopolitan Associates LLC to terminate the Contract." The termination was to be effective in 2003.

- 33. Upon defendant's opt-out of the project-based Section 8 contract, HPD, in accordance with federal laws and regulations as set forth above, provided plaintiffs with "enhanced" vouchers, and defendant, on information and belief, entered into new individual HAP contracts and issued plaintiffs leases under the Housing Choice Voucher Program which run concurrent with plaintiffs' rent stabilized leases.
- 34. In 2005, Steven Seltzer in his capacity as General Manager of defendant corporation, send letters to plaintiffs, informing them that Cosmopolitan had elected to not offer a new lease under the Housing Choice Voucher Program and that plaintiffs would be responsible for the entire contract rent should they renew their rent stabilized lease.
- 35. Defendant's letter shocked and frightened plaintiffs who do not have the means to pay the full contract rent or to move to even more expensive apartments. Many of the plaintiffs are elderly and survive on a fixed income, and those that still raise families either receive public assistance or earn barely above the minimum wage.
- 36. As a result, plaintiffs are at risk of being evicted. Indeed, where plaintiffs' Section 8 lease has already expired, as in the case of plaintiffs Maria Torres, Emma Roman, Mary Albericci, Gilma Bermudez, Evelyn Tavares, Gladys Abreu, Guadelupe Godines and Ramona Calderon, defendant is now demanding the full contract rent even though HPD has continued to

tender payments to defendant on behalf of plaintiffs.1

- 37. Plaintiffs believe that by refusing to accept their Section 8 subsidy defendant is trying to evict all low income tenants from Cosmopolitan Houses in order to attract tenants who can afford to pay a much higher rent.
- 38. Unless defendant renews their Section 8 lease and accepts their subsidy, plaintiffs risk losing their home of many years and may face homelessness. Most of the plaintiffs have lived in their apartment for well over a decade and have established strong ties to their communities. Being displaced by defendant's unlawful action would completely disrupt their lives and endanger the welfare especially of the elderly plaintiffs.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

28. Defendant Cosmopolitan's refusal to renew plaintiffs' Section 8 lease and accept plaintiffs' Section 8 voucher violates 42 U.S.C. Section 1437f(t).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

29. Defendant Cosmopolitan's refusal to renew plaintiffs' Section 8 lease and accept plaintiffs' Section 8 voucher violates the HUD <u>Section 8 Renewal Policy Guide</u>.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray that this Court:

- (1) enter a final judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343(3) and 1337 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure declaring that:
- a) Defendant Cosmopolitan's refusal to renew plaintiffs' Section 8 lease and accept plaintiffs' Section 8 voucher violates 42 U.S.C. Section 1437f(t).

Defendant recently sought unsuccessfully to enjoin HPD from remitting Section 8 payments to Cosmopolitan in Queens Supreme Court (Cosmopolitan v. HPD, Index #4816/05).

- b). Defendant Cosmopolitan's refusal to renew plaintiffs' Section 8 lease and accept plaintiffs' Section 8 voucher violates the HUD Section 8 Renewal Policy Guide (January 19, 2001).
- (2) enter a mandatory injunction, compelling defendant Cosmopolitan to renew plaintiffs' Section lease and accept plaintiff's Section 8 voucher.
 - (3) award to plaintiffs reasonable attorneys' fees; and
 - (4) grant such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York September 12th, 2005

Yours,

Adriene Holder, Esq. Attorney in Charge Civil Division The Legal Aid Society Scott Rosenberg, Esq. Director of Litigation Civil Appeals and Law Reform Unit Judith Goldiner, of counsel 199 Water Street New York, New York 10038 (212) 440-4222

April Newbauer, Esq. Attorney in Charge Oda Friedheim, of counsel Josephine Flores, of Counsel The Legal Aid Society Queens Neighborhood Office Kew Gardens, NY 11415 (718) 263 4259

Attorneys for the Plaintiff

ODA FRIEDHEIM (OF 9836)

SS 44 (Rev., 11/04) ₩

Document 1-2 Filed 09/13/05 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DEFENDANTS	z:	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Diana Estevez, Arceliano	Gonzalez, Germania Ge	onzalez Albania Ni	DEFENDANTS Cosmopolitan As Cos	sociates LLO	G Sh
		Moronta, et al.,	Component 1	-/2 K. j	
(h) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff C	ueens	S No County of Residence of	of First Listed Defendant	Queens
(E) County of Residence	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	SES)	Con Constant	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	ONLY)
		1876	NOTE IN LAN	D CONDEMNATION CASES, U	E THE LOCATION OF THE
		U.S. V. C.K	S , MOL, TAND	INVOLVED.	ILLESON I
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name,	, Address, and Telephone Number) &	Attorneys (If Known)		managit, U.
The Legal Aid Society, 13	20-46 Queens Boulevar	d, Kew Gardens 🔊	Horing Welikson	& Rosen P.C., 11 Hillsid	e Avenue, Williston Park,
York 11415, Tel. 718 286	2459		New York 11596		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	COTION "(Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	Attorneys (If Known) Horing Welikson on New York 11596 III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place ap #X; in One Box for Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question		(For Diversity Cases Only)	rf def	Later V Per Vine
Plaintiff /	(U.S. Government i	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi	incipal Place U 4 U 4
	1 4 Diversity	,	· Citizen of Another State	2	Principal Place 🗇 5 🗇 5
Defendant		p of Parties in Item III)	Cidzon of Anomer State	of Business In	-
	(Marche Olinson)	p 01 7 64 640 111 114 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	Citizen or Subject of a	3 Foreign Nation	0606
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Foreign Country	î	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Onl		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	7 ☐ 610 Agriculture	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 	☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure	28 USC 157	☐ 410 Antitrust☐ 430 Banks and Banking
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	365 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881		☐ 450 Commerce
 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal	 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 	PROPERTY RIGHTS 3 820 Copyrights	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
☐ 151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Injury Product	☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational	830 Patent 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	Liability PERSONAL PROPERT	TY Safety/Health	C) 640 Hademark	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV
(Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	370 Other Fraud371 Truth in Lending	☐ 690 Other LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 810 Selective Service ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	380 Other Personal	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 H(A (1395ff)	Exchange 875 Customer Challenge
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Product Liability	☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt,Reporting & Disclosure Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	S 🗇 740 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Forcelosure	441 Voting 442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. 	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act
🗇 230 Rent Lease & Ejectrient 🔌	443 Housing	Habeas Corpus:	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Section 3 Sectio
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations 444 Welfare	535 Death Penalty		20 030 1009	☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determination
290 Alt Other Real Property	Employment	□ 540 Mandamus & Othe□ 550 Civil Rights	er ·		Under Equal Access to Justice
/	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	555 Prison Condition			☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights				State Statutes
V. ODICIN					Appeal to District
a. ⊓'/	an "X" in One Box Only)			ferred from 🏻 🗗 6 Multidisti	🗖 🥷 Judge from
- Original - / K	temoved from	Amellota Court	Proposed (coaci	fix I itigation	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 42 U.S.C. S. 1437	tute under which you are	e filing (Do not cite jurisdiction	al statutes unless diversity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Brief description of co	nisa.			
			37f(t)2 by refusing to accep		
VII. REQUESTED IN	UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT:				- OCKL DEMAND.	/
VIII, RELATED CAST	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
			CODNEY OF DISCORD		
DATE 00/12/2005		SIGNATURE OF ATT	FORNEY OF RECORD		
09/12/2005					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
DECEIDT# A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE

I, Oda Friedheim do hereby certi my knowledge and belief the c sum of \$150,000 exclusive of Relief other t	fy pursuant to the L lamages recoverabl interest and costs.	ocal Arbitration Rule 8 le in the above captione	3.10 that to the best of d civil action exceed the
DISCLOSURE STAT	EMENT - FEDER	RAL RULES CIVIL P	ROCEDURE 7.1
dentify any parent corporatio tocks: lone			
one Did the cause arise in Nassau	or Suffolk County?	no	
f answered yes, please indica	te which county.		
County of residence of plainti	ff(s) (1) Queens (2) (3)		
County of residence of defend	lant(s) (1) Queens (2) (3)		
am currently admitted in t	he Eastern Distric	t of New York and cu	rrently a member in
good standing of the bar of t	this court.	•	
Yes		No	_
Are you currently the subjected end of the subject	ct of any disciplina	ary action(s) in this or	any other state or
Yes(If yes, please	explain)	No✓	
Please provide your E-MAIL	Address and har co	de below. Vour ber coo	le consists of the initials
of your first and last name and	d the last four digits by the attorney with byided pursuant to l	s of your social security the Clerk of Court.	number or any other
(This information must be pro	DE UXXIV		
(This information must be pro ATTORNEY BAR CODE:_			
(This information must be pro ATTORNEY BAR CODE:_			
four digit number registered by (This information must be proposed at Torney BAR CODE:_ E-MAIL Address: ofriedheim I consent to the use of electronic Formula (The Electronic Formula) and the papers.	@legal-aid.org nic filing procedure	es adopted by the Court FP)", and consent to the	in Administrative Order e electronic service of all